App. F. IX-4

192 (VP / LD)

File No. 174-28

Serial Nos. 1, 225

Previous References

LB104-24-1
me 145-24-1

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Jan 30

SUBJECT

Bill further to amend the Mysore V. P. Regulation 1926.

papers ordered to be closed

(For Disposal by Circulation.)

No. C. 63 of

.....1929-30

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

Bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

R. Rangadeva

.....30th August....1929. *Chief Secretary to Government.*

~~Extraordinary Meeting of Council~~

1492 / 31.8. **First Member of Council.** 31/8/29

20 M / 31/8 **Second Member of Council.** 31/8

2317 / 31/8 **Third Member of Council.** 31.8. 205-2

For favour of final Note.

Second Member) 2/9/29

Final Note signed by Members in place

Serial No.	
1.	Letter No 9131 D 20-6-29 from the Collector forwards for sanction the following two resolutions passed at the Dt Conference held at Chittaranjan The resolutions are:- 1. Amendment of V.P. Regn so as to provide for the disqualification of Panchayat members for absence at more than 3 consecutive meetings of the Panchayat 2. Sanction of Rs 5000 to Hasanabad Taluk for sinking drinking water wells. 2. (i) There is at present no provision in the V.P. Regn under which a member loses his seat by absenting himself from a number of meetings of the Panchayat. In the case of a Municipal Councillor under section 15-(2).(c) of the Municipal Regn a councillor loses his seat if he fails without leave, to attend any meeting of the Council for a period of 6 months during which at least 3 meetings ~~should~~ have been held. In the case of D.L.B.s under

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

under Section 26(1) of the Dt Bds Regn a Member loses his seat if he is absents himself for more than four consecutive months from the Dist without leave which shall not exceed 6 months or if he absents himself for six consecutive months from meetings of the Dt Board without the leave of the Board.

3. A similar provision may perhaps be introduced in the case of the Panchayets also, after consulting the Revenue Commr who is directly in charge of the Panchayets.

Yes CSR/07.

ii Re the grant (of Rs 5000 for drinking water wells in Malur Taluk also the Revenue Commr has to be consulted if any special grant is required) for the Taluk, for which no special reason has however been adduced. The grant for D.W. wells during 1929-30 was originally fixed at Rs 1 lakh (excluding charges for well boring) & the grant has subsequently been increased by about Rs 2800 0 If any special grants is

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

Considered necessary for the Taluk by the Dy Comr. & the Revt Comr., the grant for the Roller &c may be properly fixed while distributing the grant for 1929-30. Subsequently no further grant in this behalf

Mk 26.6.29 W 2756

As regards resolution no. 10. no doubt it is desirable to ensure that V.P. members attend V.P meetings regularly but in the present state of development of V. Panchayats it is doubtful if it will be helpful to enforce the proposed rule against members for non-attendance. V.P. members are as a rule residents in the village itself and their attendance could perhaps always be ensured under purposely withheld on account of party feelings etc. I think meetings of the V.Ps are not themselves held regularly at present. The R.C. may however be asked to ~~submit proposals~~ furnish his views in the matter in consultation with the Dy Comrs.

Regarding Grant to Malur town 5th Resn. no. 13 may be left to the R.C. for suitable action.

Eng 29/6/29

Sd Key

6. Warning of costs resolutions in the same file would lead to confusion. The

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

resolutions will be worked up as separate files & sent for orders. The Kolar Dy. Com's letter is submitted for perusal in the first instance.

BN.
2/7/29.

Second member,

Seen. The matters may be separated & Re consulted.

dby
W 7 IV.
MS

8

Draft put up re resol^n. noted. (re disqualification of members for absence from meetings) Draft Letter re the other resolution is issued separately as directed

dy.
12.7.29

dy.
MS
13/7

2. Rev Com^r no L 423. dy 18.7.29. Forwards the res and 10 for opinion

5 From the Rev Com^r Mys C. 349 dy 2.8.29. States that he is agreeable to the resolution about disqualification of V.P. members

GEN^L & REV. SECT^T
Mys A
22 JULY 1929
L. S. Dn.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
26. AUG. 1929
No. 9210

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
22 AUG. 1929
No. 1705

for continuous absence without reason for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet.

12) A draft amending the Regn. is put up for consideration

16.8.29 (Sunday) 15/8.

13) The Rev. com. is agreeable to the proposed amendment. May be approved.

Secy Revenue. 21.8.

Dewan. 13 Csl 23/8

14) 16-8-29

15) The question has to be considered in Council and submitted to His Highness.

Chief Sec. with compts. 27/8

16) C-B1 Circulate (for disposal) 29/8/29.

CS 30/9/29.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
28 AUG. 1929

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

Serial No.



Subject:-

Bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation 1926.

S.N.submitted.

Dewan

RG 11/9/29

12-9-29

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:— Bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation 1926.

There is at present no provision in the Village Panchayet Regulation as in the Municipal and District Board Regulations for the removal of the members of that body who absent themselves without leave.

It is therefore proposed to amend the Village Panchayet Regulation so as to provide for the Members of the Village Panchayets who absent themselves without the leave of the Panchayets for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet.

A draft bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation 1926 has accordingly been prepared and has been approved by the other Members of Council.

I solicit His Highness' gracious permission to place the principles of the Bill before the Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council in due course.

C S Balasundaram Ayyar

Member of Council.

Bangalore,
9th September 1929.

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second Member of Council regarding the introduction of a Bill further to amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation 1926,

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Mirza M. Ismail

Dewan.

12-9-29

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.



Bill further to amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

115

Order.

~~KRW~~

14/9/29

C. Ray

19-9-29

63

Bill further to amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His * Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

R. Barclay.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

Revl Department. Bn. 20/9

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Serial No.

20 The file may now be sent to the R.A. Section for ~~noticing the bill was passed by the R.A.~~

Library putting up a copy of the summary of the discussion on the bill in the Assembly

DJ 25/11/29 R Dalal 28/11/29

21 Regn may kindly be made a further hence as proceedings have not yet been applied for

KN 24/11 (L.S.G. Branch) 26/11

21/11

22 Submd for perusal

DJ 7/11/29 25/11

23 As the Bill has to be placed before the Legislature, the R.A Secn may be requested to furnish the summary of discussion without delay.

DJ 11/11/29 24 R A Section with Compts. for putting up a copy of the Summary of the Discussion. 12/11/29

The Dewan has approved the last para of the report. The 2nd Members approval has not yet been received. An extract is however put up as the matter is said to be urgent.

KN 15-11-29. Submd. 15/11

L.S.G. with Compliments

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GOVT. IN THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

Rep. Secretary. 26/10.

Sir 1.12.29

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GIPS—[illegible],000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. A-B File No. 174 of 192 29

Serial No. 26

DEWAN'S OFFICE
20 NOV. 1929
No. 2113

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
16 NOV. 1929
No. 3836

From the summary of the discussion in the R-A it is seen that the House was generally opposed to the introduction of the Bill.

Orders are solicited as to whether further action in the matter may be dropped.

WJ 15/11/29

Govt Secy. 16/11/29

Hm 16/11

Second Member.

This may ~~perhaps~~ be dropped for the time being. Perhaps a year or two hence such a measure maybe received with greater favour.

Dewan. CSY 16/11/29

Hm 16/11

27

Hm 23-11-29

Hm 26/11

WJ

28

Please put up D-F.M.

ST 26/11/29

RR D-F.M. Issued.

30

RR 27.11.29

Hm 26/11

Press and Pbs. Mark Pbs. Comments & return same

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. 23 File No. 174 of 1928

Serial No.

Under the Council rules, all measures of legislation must be treated as Secret and Submitted to His Highness. It is now proposed to drop the measure. No precedents exist in the Judl Branch in the matter of submission of such cases to His Highness again excepting the case relating to the improvements introduced in Mint Bazar in 1924. Sanction of His Highness was obtained before the proposals ~~for the R.B.~~ were referred to the R.B and subsequent modifications were not also submitted to His Highness the matter was however disposed of by the Bazar.

23
3/12/29

33 It seems unnecessary to submit this matter now to H.H. again.

(Illegible) 23
4/12

34 Just as well to report & close the matter, I think.

Second Member 4/12

35 Yes
C88
17/12

Flags 5 & 6 at Bazar

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
13 DEC. 1929
No. 4745

SECRETARIAT 5 DEC. 1929

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
16. JAN. 1930
No. 305

OFFICE OF THE ... COUNCIL
19 DEC. 1929

3) A D.T.N. has not been issued by the member.

18/12. AJ

Pharmacist's D.T.N.

18/12

38. D.S.N. issued

18/12 AJ

39 No D.T.N. has the ... signed by Mr. 2nd Member Mahan read the file to Mrs Rosmal Bobb

18/12

Rec'd without Jan'y 21.1.30

40. D.T.N & D.S.N. may be sent to members office for further action.

28/12

28/12/29

(P. A to 2nd Member with compts)

Final note signed by the Member is placed on the file.

5.2.30

13.1.30

Dewan

18/1

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second Member of Council on the subject of the dropping of the introduction of the Bill further to amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation 1926, for His Highness' gracious approval.

M N Venkatarama Rao

Dewan. (in charge)

Bangalore,
16th January 1930.

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:— Bill further to amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

With reference to my Final Note dated the 9th September 1929 and His Highness' order thereon approving a draft Bill to further amend the Village Panchayet Regulation 1926, I beg to state that the principles of the Bill were placed before the Representative Assembly in October 1929 but the House was generally opposed to the introduction of the Bill. It is not considered desirable to press the measure in the face of this opinion. This may be dropped for the time being. A year or two hence such a measure may perhaps be received with greater favour. I solicit gracious His Highness'/approval to my proposal.

C S Balasundaram Jr

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Bangalore,
13th January 1930.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Bill further to amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation 1926.

315

Order.

KRW

23/1/30

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

A reply may / must be sent to the D/C enquiring about the dispatch and that the question may be taken up later on —

HS 27/1/30

Not necessary to reply to DC I think. We might consider about a reply when the DC asks for a specific reply again.

The result of the proceedings may be published in the A & L Gazette & DC might look into it on the usual terms.

WB 28/1



NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

III. BILL TO FURTHER AMEND THE VILLAGE PANCHAYET REGULATION, 1926.

The Secretary to Government, Law Department, explained the general principles of the Bill, as follows:-

Khan Bahadur MD.ABBAS KHAN pointed out that ~~there~~ three meetings of a Village Panchayet might be held in one and the same month; in such cases, if any member who might be absent from the place did not attend all the three meetings he would automatically cease to be a member of the Panchayet. This would work as a hardship. It would be more reasonable to fix a minimum period of time within which every member should attend at least one meeting of the Panchayet. He said, therefore, that a period of 4 months might be fixed for the purpose.

THE SECOND MEMBER replied that this was a matter of detail and would be noted for consideration at the Select Committee stage.

MR.CHANNABASAPPA said that the enactment of such a provision would work as a very great hardship in the actual working of the Village Panchayets. In the existing state of things, meetings were not held regularly, people did not offer themselves for election generally, and even if they were elected it was difficult to persuade them to attend the meetings at all. If such a provision, placing restriction on the members, were passed, he thought that re-elections would be a common feature in the Village Panchayets and that, in the existing state of illiteracy in the country, such a provision was not called for. He agreed that the measure was a useful one but that it might be introduced some time later.

In agreeing with the above view, Messrs. JATRE NAIK and NANJAPPA thought that if members were once unseated, it would be very difficult to get proper substitutes to fill their places.

As

As against this view, a few other members were of opinion that the provision would be a very useful measure as very little work was being done by the Panchayets at present and that it would awaken them to a sense of duty.

MR.S.C.MALLIAH, while agreeing ~~with~~ that the measure was really useful, observed that the general level of intelligence of Panchayet members being very low, they did not realize their responsibilities properly, with the result that they had for the most part to be forced to attend meetings. Another practical inconvenience that was brought to notice by him was that as there were factions in the villages, it would make it possible for one faction to arrange for meetings of the Panchayets at short intervals with a view to get particular individuals belonging to the other faction, unseated. He therefore considered that it was better to postpone the Bill under reference, for some time to come.

MR.KESAVAIYA of Belur, Dharmaprakasa Banumiah, Siddalinga Devaru and many other members were also in favour of deferring the consideration of the measure.

THE DEWAN stated that Government would note that the House was generally opposed to the introduction of the Bill.

General principles to further amend the Village Panchayet Regulation.

There is at present no provision in the Village Panchayet Regulation as in the Municipal and District Board Regulations for the removal of the members of Village Panchayets who absent themselves without leave. It is therefore proposed to amend the Village Panchayet Regulation so as to ~~amend~~ provide for the members of the Village Panchayets who absent themselves without the leave of the Panchayets for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet concerned.

A bill further to amend the Panchayet Regulation has been prepared and placed before the Representative Assembly for expression of their views.

Bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, II of 1926, in certain particulars. It is hereby enacted as follows :-

In Sub-section 2 of section 13 of the said Regulation, between the words " elected or appointed," and " he shall cease," the following shall be inserted, namely, " or absents himself without the leave of the Panchayet from more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet."

Statement of objects and reasons.

This amendment is proposed to provide for the removal of members of Village Panchayets who absent themselves without the leave of the Panchayets for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet. There are provisions in the existing Municipal and District Board Regulations for reasons such of these members as absented themselves for certain periods for the meetings of those bodies.

General principles to further amend the Village Panchayet Regulation.

There is at present no provision in the Village Panchayet Regulation as in the Municipal and District Board Regulations for the removal of the members of Village Panchayets who absent themselves without leave. It is therefore proposed to amend the Village Panchayet Regulation so as to ~~amend~~ provide for the members of the Village Panchayets who absent themselves without the leave of the Panchayets for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet concerned.

A bill further to amend the Panchayet Regulation has been prepared and placed before the Representative Assembly for expression of their views.

Bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, II of 1926, in certain particulars. It is hereby enacted as follows :-

In Sub-section 2 of section 13 of the said Regulation, between the words " elected or appointed," and " he shall cease," the following shall be inserted, namely, " or absents himself without the leave of the Panchayet from more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet."

Statement of objects and reasons.

This amendment is proposed to provide for the removal of members of Village Panchayets who absent themselves without the leave of the Panchayets for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet. There are provisions in the existing Municipal and District Board Regulations for reasons such of these members as absented themselves for certain periods for the meetings of those bodies.

Bill to further amend the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, 1926.

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the ~~the~~ Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, II of 1926, in certain particulars; It is hereby enacted as follows:-

In Sub-Section 2 of Section 13 of the said Regulation, between the words "elected or appointed" and "he shall cease" the following shall be inserted, namely, "or absents himself without the leave of the Panchayet ~~for~~ from more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet".

Statement of objects and reasons.

This amendment is proposed to provide for the removal of members of Village Panchayets who absent themselves without the leave of the Panchayets for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayet. ~~Similar provision exists in the case of the members of Municipal Councils and District Boards.~~

K.M.R.1.
17/8.

No. C.349/29-30.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 1st August *192* 9

GEN. & REV. SEC
6031
3 AUG. 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH.

FROM

P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

General
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

L.B. 174-28—5

SIR,

Referring to your letter No. L.423/L-B.174-28-2 dated 18th July 1929 forwarding for my opinion copy of resolution No. 10 passed at the Kolar District Village Panchayet Conference about disqualifying Members of the Village Panchayets for continuous absence without reason for more than three consecutive meetings of the Panchayets etc., I have the honour to state that I agree with the resolution.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimhaiyangar

Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner.
Absent on leave.

K.V.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Dated, Kolar the 12th June 1929.

No ff 131 28-29

From

A. V. RAMANATHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore.

GEN SEC (1) 42)
5684
24 JUNE 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH.

2B 174-28-1

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith extracts of resolutions passed at the 13th District Conference held at Chintamani for your information and request that Government may be pleased to consider the resolutions favourably.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SRS.

The 13th Kolar District Conference held at Chintamani. 14th April 29

Village Panchayet Conference.

Extract of resolutions passed.

10. Disqualifying members of the Village Panchayets for -- their absence without reason for more than 3 consecutive -- meetings in spite of the service of notices of meeting.

Resolution:-

It is necessary to amend the regulation .

XX XX XX

13. Special grant of Rs. 5,000/- to be granted to Malur Taluk for sinking drinking water wells.

Resolution:-

Increased grants are necessary.

XX XX XX

" E x t r a c t "

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Section 23

File No. 174-28

Serial No. 2

No. 2423

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Revenue in Inspn

Date of issue 18-7-29

Sir,

Signed by amro. 23 2/30

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of your opinion copy of Res.n no. passed at the Taluk Dt Conference (Village Panchayat Conference) held at Tekkattamani on 29.4.29 about the disqualifying members of the V-Panchayats for continuous absence from the meetings of the Panchayats etc. over there

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0399—GPB—20,000—18-9-28

18/7

Forgery

8147.